UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,857	07/14/2003	Amit Haller	1005-36-01 USP 7751	
	7590 01/22/200 GROUP, INC. [Main]	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 7333	,	GONZALEZ, AMANCIO		
NEWPORT BE	CACH, CA 92658-7333		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/619,857		HALLER ET AL.	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		AMANCIO GON	IZALEZ	2617	
The MAILING DATE of thi	s communication app	pears on the cove	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FRC - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dat - If NO period for reply is specified above, th - Failure to reply within the set or extended p Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	OM THE MAILING D, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 e of this communication. e maximum statutory period veriod for reply will, by statute hree months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS Common and the second an	OMMUNICATION wever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from It to become ABANDONED	lely filed he mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in closed in accordance with 	2b)☐ This condition for allowa	action is non-fir	ormal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 26-33 is/are pended 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ☐ Claim(s) 26-33 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject Application Papers	is/are withdraw wed. sted. cted to.	wn from conside			
9)☐ The specification is objecte	d to by the Evenine	ar.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request the Replacement drawing sheet(11) The oath or declaration is of	is/are: a) ☐ acc at any objection to the s) including the correct	epted or b) obding of drawing(s) be held tion is required if the	d in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made (a) All b) Some * c) 1 1. Certified copies of the	None of: ne priority document ne priority document ed copies of the prior International Burear	s have been rec s have been rec rity documents h u (PCT Rule 17.	eived. eived in Application nave been receive 2(a)).	on No d in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawir 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (F] Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da] Notice of Informal Pa] Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/619,857 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 27, 30, and 33 recite the limitation "...the cellular network" (claim 27: lines 4, 5, 6, and 8; claim 30: lines 2; and claim 33: line 14). There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 26-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuda (US PGPub 20020159406), hereafter "Fukuda," in view of Nguyen et al. (US 20020116615 A1), hereafter "Nguyen."

Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

Consider claim 26. Fukuda discloses establishing a first connection between a mobile device (101) and a first terminal (102-104) using a short range communication protocol (Bluetooth) (see figs. 1 and 4, pars. 0001, 0004, 0044). Fukuda discloses establishing a second connection between a network (200) and the mobile (101) device using a wide range communication protocol (see figs. 1 and 4, par. 0020 lines 1-10, par. 0044 lines 8-12: Fukuda discusses wherein external communication device 2 connects to the public communication network 30, thereby connecting the host device 4 to the public communication network 30 and Internet network 40). Fukuda discloses receiving a first request from the first terminal (4) over the first connection (10) to communicate with the network (20) over the second connection (10to-20) (see fig. 4, fig. 8 step S1-1, par. 0049 lines 1-4, pars. 0097, 0099). Fukuda discloses providing the first terminal (4) with a first IP address over the first connection (10), such that the first terminal (4) is distinguishable from other terminals (fig. 1: 102, 103) capable of connecting to the mobile device (2) (see figs. 1 and 4, par. 0059). Fukuda discloses receiving data communicated over the second connection (10-to-20) from the network (20) (see fig. 4, par. 0059 lines 15-23). Fukuda discloses communicating the data to the first terminal (4) over the first connection (10), in response to determining that the data received from the network (20) is designated for the first IP address associated with the first terminal (4) (see fig. 4, par. 0060).

But Fukuda does not disclose, or explicitly refer to, discontinuing the first connection after the first terminal, in response to determining that the data has been received by the first terminal or discontinuing the second connection, in response to

Art Unit: 2617

determining that no terminals connected to the mobile device require access to the cellular network, wherein the above establishing, receiving, providing, communicating, and discontinuing steps are performed by a routing software executing on the mobile device, or to a virtual private network.

Nguyen, in related art, discloses discontinuing the first connection after the first terminal, in response to determining that the data has been received by the first terminal or discontinuing the second connection, in response to determining that no terminals connected to the mobile device require access to the cellular network, wherein the above establishing, receiving, providing, communicating, and discontinuing steps are performed by a routing software executing on the mobile device, including a virtual private network (see the abstract, par. 0016 lines 1-9, par. 0017, par. 0084 lines 1-4, and par. 0137, where Nguyen discusses data download to a plurality of gaming devices that utilize a short-range communication protocol, i.e., Bluetooth, IEEE 802.11a, IEEE 802.11b, IEEE 802.11x (e.g. other IEEE 802.11 standards such as IEEE 802.11c, IEEE 802.11d, IEEE 802.11e, etc.), a wireless access point, and virtual private network VPN; see also pars. 0071 and 0072).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have concluded that discontinuing the first connection after the first terminal, in response to determining that the data has been received by the first terminal or discontinuing the second connection, in response to determining that no terminals connected to the mobile device require access to the cellular network, wherein the above establishing, receiving, providing, communicating, and discontinuing steps

are performed by a routing software executing on the mobile device is the description of a data download process by a device in combination with an access point to effect said data download through a public network such as the Internet implementing a virtual private network, as taught by Nguyen, for the purpose of keeping the data downloading process free from illegal access.

Claims 31 and 33 address the same subject matter as claim 26, therefore same rejection applies.

Consider claims 27, 30. Fukuda, as modified by Nguyen, teaches claims 26 and 31 respectively. Fukuda further discloses a connection request from the mobile device over the second to communicate with the cellular network (see Fukuda: par. 0104) and receiving data communicated over the second connection from the cellular network and IP connection (see Fukuda: pars. 0059, 0060); and Bryson further teaches routing procedures (see Bryson: pars. 0032, 0039-0041, 0045).

Consider claims 28 and 29. Fukuda, as modified by Nguyen, teaches claim 26. Fukuda further discloses Bluetooth protocol (see Fukuda: pars. 0001 and 0039) and Bryson further teaches IEEE 802.11 (see Bryson: abstract, pars. 0043, 0115) short range communication networks.

Consider claim 32 as amended. Fukuda as modified by Nguyen teaches claim 26; and Nguyen further discloses wherein the short range communication protocol uses HomeRF signals (see par. 0137 lines 8-14).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,857 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26-33 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in

this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed

to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window

Application/Control Number: 10/619,857 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

Randolph Building 401 Delaney Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Amancio Gonzalez, whose telephone number is (571) 270-1106. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Charles Appiah, can be reached at (571) 272-7904. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

AG/ag

January 15, 2009

/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617 Application/Control Number: 10/619,857

Page 8

Art Unit: 2617